

Suite 388
 1130 West Pender Street
 Vancouver, B.C., V6E 4A4
 Tel: (604) 602-6767
 Fax: (604) 602-0235
 Email: dave.rennie@scottwilson.com

March 27, 2008

Mr. Ron Handford,
 CEO,
 Yellowhead Mining Inc.,
 #2130 – 21331 Gordon Way,
 Richmond, BC V6W 1J9

By email: rhandford@yellowheadmining.com

Re: Harper Creek Project Update of the Mineral Resource Estimate

Dear Ron,

As per your request, Scott Wilson Mining Group (Scott Wilson RPA) has carried out an updated estimate of the Mineral Resources for the Harper Creek Project, for Yellowhead Mining Inc. (Yellowhead). The revised estimate is summarized in Table 1. Scott Wilson RPA recommends reporting the estimate at a cut-off of 0.20% Cu.

**TABLE 1 – MINERAL RESOURCES – MARCH 2008
 Yellowhead Mining Inc. – Harper Creek Project**

Indicated			
Cut-off	Tonnage	Grade	Cu
(%Cu)	(Kt)	(% Cu)	(t)
0.60	16,000	0.69	108,000
0.50	42,000	0.60	251,000
0.40	104,000	0.51	525,000
0.30	250,000	0.41	1,026,000
0.20	538,000	0.32	1,735,000
0.10	935,000	0.25	2,330,000

Inferred			
Cut-off	Tonnage	Grade	Cu
(%Cu)	Kt	(% Cu)	(t)
0.60	3,000	0.69	21,000
0.50	8,000	0.60	48,000
0.40	17,000	0.52	86,000
0.30	34,000	0.43	145,000
0.20	65,000	0.34	221,000
0.10	119,000	0.25	302,000

The estimate incorporates drilling information collected since Scott Wilson RPA's first estimate, which was reported on November 1, 2007. Diamond drill assays up to and including hole HC08-53 were used. The new data were accepted as delivered, and were not validated by Scott Wilson RPA.

Grade interpolation was by Ordinary Kriging (OK) which is the same methodology used the November estimate. Some minor parameter changes were made to accommodate the new data and geological interpretation. A revised wireframe grade shell was constructed, which incorporated to some extent recent geological interpretations carried out by Yellowhead staff. The principal modification to the grade shell was the addition of a fault zone, which traverses the deposit in a west-southwest to east-northeast orientation. The grade interpolation was not allowed to cross this fault zone.

The block model was expanded somewhat to accommodate the revised wireframe, but the original block size of 15m x 15m x 5m was retained. The samples were capped at 1.5% Cu prior to compositing to 3.05 m (10 ft.) downhole lengths. Tonnage was assigned to the block using a mean bulk density of 2.79 t/m³. Classification was assigned as follows:

- Blocks estimated with at least three composites and within 2/3 of the variogram range (203m x 137m x 19m) to the nearest composite were assigned to the Indicated category.
- Blocks estimate out to the full variogram range (304m x 205m x 29m) were classed as Inferred.

This is consistent with the classification scheme used for the November estimate.

The change in the Mineral Resources (at the 0.2% Cu cut-off) from the last estimate is shown in Table 2.

**TABLE 2 – COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES
Yellowhead Mining Inc. – Harper Creek Project**

	Indicated		Inferred	
	Mt	Cu (%)	Mt	Cu (%)
Nov 07	450.9	0.32	142.2	0.33
Mar 08	538.4	0.32	64.7	0.34
Difference	87.5	0.00	-77.5	0.01
% Diff	19.4%	0.0%	-54.5%	3.0%

Scott Wilson RPA notes that there was a modest increase in overall tonnes for the Indicated category, a decrease in tonnes for Inferred, and virtually no change in grade for either. The principal difference appears to have been an upgrading of Inferred Mineral Resources to the Indicated category.

The block model and the revised grade shells have been sent to CME Consultants Inc. for inspection and comment. If there are any questions or concerns, please contact me.

Sincerely,
Scott Wilson Roscoe Postle Associates Inc.

(signed)

David W. Rennie, P.Eng.
Consulting Geological Engineer